## Biostics Biostics Identifying and Assessing Subgroups in Systemic Sclerosis Patients based on Comprehensive **Autoantibody Profiling**

**P. Budde**<sup>1</sup>, H.-D. Zucht<sup>1</sup>, H.Göhler<sup>1</sup>, M. Schneider<sup>2,</sup> P. Schulz-Knappe<sup>1</sup>, N.Hunzelmann<sup>3</sup> <sup>1</sup>Protagen AG, Dortmund, <sup>2</sup>Rheumatology, Heinrich-Heine-University Düsseldorf, <sup>3</sup>Department of Dermatology, University of Cologne, Germany

### Introduction

- Systemic sclerosis (SSc) is a remarkably heterogeneous autoimmune disease, for which effective disease-modifying therapies are still lacking.
- The most widely used classification divides SSc into the two major subsets diffuse cutaneous (dcSSc) and limited (lcSSc) SSc by the extent and severity of skin fibrosis. However, not all patients fit into these subsets.
- We explored whether autoantibodies (AAB) against a multitude of antigens could provide biomarkers to uncover unrecognized SSc subtypes and insights into the pathogenesis of SSc.
- Here, we describe the development of a 20 marker multiplexed AAB assay and explored its utility for SSc patient subgroup analysis.

### Methods

Novel SSc-associated autoantigens were discovered by high-content autoantibody profiling using the bead-based Luminex xMAP platform SeroTag® (Fig. 1). Novel AAB targets with p-value <0.05 (Mann-Whitney-U-test) and frequency >15% were identified in SSc patients (dcSSc: n=32, lcSSc: n=50, and SSc overlap: n=9). The mean modified Rodnan skin score (MRSS), mean disease duration (month), and mean age (years) of the SSc cohort was 10.51, 162.5 and 56.94, respectively.



## Results NavigAID SSc Assay

A Luminex bead-based AAB assay was designed by combining 8 Ro52, Ro60, Sm, anti-ribosomal P) antigens with 12 novel antigens including BICD2, JMJD3/KDM6B, and PPP1R2 (Fig 2).



To analyze the individual-level patient similarity of AAB reactivity, the total number of AABs reactive in each patient was calculated and referenced to the number of all available antigens in percent. Hierarchical cluster analysis of marker co-prevalence and patient signature overlap was performed (Fig. 3).



Fig.3: Autoantibody signature similitarity and dissimilarity of SSc patients

connective tissue disease (anti-centromere, anti-Scl70, U1-snRNP, SSB,

Fig.2:NavigAID SSc stratification assay

### Autoantibody Reactivity Signatures

Based on their AAB reactivity pattern, the SSc sample cohort can be decomposed into four main clusters:

- PPP1R2 positive
- AAB.



Fig 4 shows a Multi-Dimensional Scaling (MDS) plot of all patients. Patients are labelled according to their cluster membership in Fig. 3.

# Conclusions

The multiplexed analysis of AABs in SSc enables defining an AAB reactivity score and SSc patient clusters. This might support the stratification of SSc patients into more homogenous subgroups in clinical studies thereby increasing the probability of successful drug development.

ACR2016 #813

Cluster blue (n=21): 90% of all samples were IcSSc, characterized by an extended AAB repertoire (including CENPB, BICD2, KDM6B and PPP1R2), MRSS below the average and longer disease duration. Cluster black (n=10): 70% were IcSSc, 20% dcSSc, and 10% SSc-ov. characterized by MRSS below the average. 40% of patients were

Cluster grey (n=32): 53% were IcSSc, 28% dcSSc, and 19% SSc-ov. 34% of patients had MRSS below the average and few AABs. Cluster red (n=28): 71% were dcSSc, 35% lcSSc, and 4% SSc-ov. 86% of patients had the MRSS above the average and all had Scl70

Fig. 4: Interpretation of Cluster: Multi-Dimensional Scaling (MDS)